



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,333

10/31/2003

Georges R. Harik

0026-0056

8524

44989

7590

07/10/2009

HARRITY & HARRITY, LLP

11350 Random Hills Road

SUITE 600

FAIRFAX, VA 22030

EXAMINER

SPOONER, LAMONT M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/697,333	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARIK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LAMONT M. SPOONER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAMONT M. SPOONER. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Harrity. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,30,31 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shanahan (US 6,320,075), Ortega (US 6,564,213), Goodwin (US 7,200,592), Veale (US 6,584,470).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's arguments appear to overcome the rejections of claims 1, 30, and 31. An agreement was not reached regarding claim 41, as the Examiner to review the applicant's filed arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lamont Spooner/	/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626
------------------	--